

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 00817 031528Z

46

ACTION SCI-06

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 EA-11 IO-15 ISO-00 ACDA-19 CIAE-00

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 RSC-01 SCEM-02 DRC-01 /104 W

----- 002540

R 031436Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3481

INFO AEC GERMANTOWN

AMEMBASSY BONN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 817

REF: (A) STATE 187563 (NOTAL); B(B) STATE 185514 (NOTAL)

(C) IAEA VIENNA 6283

SUMMARY: IN FOURTH NEGOTIATING SESSION, US GAVE IAEA OUR DRAFT OF PROTOCOL TO PROPOSED AGREEMENT AND EXPLAINED OUR CONCEPT OF FACILITY ATTACHMENTS ON ANY OR ALL ELIGIBLE US NUCLEAR FACILITIES, AT AGENCY'S DISCRETION. WE PROPOSED EXCLUSION FROM LIST OF ELIGIBLE US FACILITIES OF THOSE LOCATIONS WITH MINIMAL QUANTITIES OF MATERIAL BY DEFINING FACILITIES AS IN INFCIRC/153. WE DEFERRED RESPONSE TO AGENCY'S COMMENTS ON MAIN BODY OF AGREEMENT, AGREEING TO CONSIDER THEM IN NEW DRAFT OF PARTS I AND II AND PROTOCL WHICH WE WOULD ENDEAVOR TRANSMIT TO IAEA BY OCT 19. NEXT NEGOTIATING SESSION WILL FOLLOW AGENCY'S STUDY OF THIS RE-DRAFT. PROGRESS ON REPORTING OF INTERNATIONAL TRANSFERS REPORTED SEPTEL. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE.

1. US AND IAEA NEGOTIATORS (LOPEZ, RAMES, FRENZEL, AND RYZHOV) MET SEPT 26. US RESREP PORTER EXPLAINED THAT WE WERE NOT YET READY TO RESPOND TO COMMENTS GIVEN US IN PREVIOUS MEETING (REF C) ON PARTS I AND II OF OUR DRAFT. HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE AGENCY'S COMMENTS, WE HAD FOUND IT NECESSARY TO DRAFT A PROTOCOL AND WE WANTED GIVE AGENCY RESULT. WE HAD DECIDED TO PERMIT PREPARATION OF FACILITY ATTACHMENTS ON ANY OR ALL US ELIGIBLE FACILITIES AT AGENCY DISCRETION. SINCE PARTS I AND II APPLY, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 00817 031528Z

UNDER OUR BASIC CONCEPT, ONLY TO THOSE ELIGIBLE FACILITIES WHICH

AGENCY HAS SELECTED FOR INCLUSION IN SUBSIDIARY ARRANGEMENTS, PROVISION FOR FACILITY ATTACHMENTS ON REMAINING ELIGIBLE FACILITIES IS MADE IN PROTOCOL. WE HAVE DUBBED THESE "PROVISIONAL FACILITY ATTACHMENTS" (PFA), WHICH IS NOT VERY SATISFACTORY LABEL; WE WILL TRY TO FIND BETTER ONE IN REDRAFT.

2. WE NOTED THAT PROTOCOL DID NOT REFLECT THE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH SUGGESTED TO US BY LOPEZ AT LAST MEETING (PARA 9 REF C). WE DID NOT PLAN TO PURSUE THAT APPROACH AT THIS TIME.

3. WE POINTED OUT THAT IN DRAFTING PROTOCOL WE HAD REFERRED ONLY TO "FACILITIES" RATHER THAN TO "FACILITIES AND OTHER LOCATIONS" AS WE HAD IN PARTS I AND II. THIS WAS DELIBERATE OMISSION, AND WE PROPOSED OMIT "AND OTHER LOCATIONS" IN FINAL TEXT OF PARTS I AND II AS WELL. BY LIMITING AGREEMENT'S COVERAGE TO "FACILITIES", WHICH ARE DEFINED IN PARA 106 OF INFCIRC/153 AND IDENTICALLY DEFINED IN ART 98(I) OF OUR DRAFT, WE SOLVE "DE MINIMUS" PROBLEM OF WHAT TO DO WITH HUNDREDS OF US LOCATIONS (HOSPITALS, UNIVERSITIES) WITH MINIMAL QUANTITIES OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL.

4. WE EXPLAINED THAT, ALTHOUGH THIS NOT EXPLICIT IN OUR DRAFTS, WE WERE IN EFFECT GROUPING ALL US NUCLEAR FACILITIES INTO FIVE CATEGORIES: (A) THOSE DETERMINED BY USG TO BE ELIGIBLE UNDER OUR OFFER AND SELECTED BY AGENCY FOR APPLICATION OF ITS SAFEGUARDS. (WE REAFFIRMED THAT WE USED WORD "SAFEGUARDS" ONLY IN FULL INFCIRC/153 SENSE); (B) THOSE ELIGIBLE FACILITIES TO WHICH AGENCY DOES NOT WISH, AT ANY GIVEN TIME, TO APPLY SAFEGUARDS, BUT FOR WHICH IT DOES WISH TO HAVE "PROVISIONAL FACILITY ATTACHMENTS" PREPARED; (C) THOSE REMAINING ELIGIBLE FACILITIES, IF ANY, TO WHICH AT ANY GIVEN TIME AGENCY IS NOT APPLYING SAFEGUARDS AND FOR WHICH FACILITY ATTACHMENTS ARE NOT PREPARED; (D) THOSE LOCATIONS IN US WHICH FALL OUTSIDE OF INFCIRC /153 DEFINITION OF FACILITY AND THEREFORE ARE NOT ON ELIGIBLE LIST; (E) THOSE FACILITIES EXCLUDED BY USG FROM OUR ELIGIBLE LIST BECAUSE OF THEIR DIRECT NATIONAL SECURITY SIGNIFICANCE. CATEGORIES (B) AND (C) COULD, IN THEORY, BOTH BE EMPTY, IF AGENCY CHOSE PUT ENTIRE ELIGIBLE LIST IN (A). (COMMENT: AGENCY TEAM WELL AWARE THIS POSSIBILITY PURELY HYPOTHETICAL.) MORE LIKELY POSSIBILITY, AND ONE WE ENTIRELY PREPARED TO ACCEPT, IS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 00817 031528Z

THAT IN TIME (C) WOULD BECOME EMPTY, IF AGENCY DESIRED PUT ALL ELIGIBLE FACILITIES UNDER EITHER (A) OR (B) THERE WOULD BE NO RESTRICTION ON AGENCY'S ABILITY MOVE FACILITIES AT ANY TIME TO OR FROM FIRST THREE CATEGORIES, OTHER THAN REQUIREMENT TO AVOID DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT AS BETWEEN US COMMERCIAL FIRMS. BASIC TENET OF OUR CONCEPT WAS THAT AGENCY SHOULD HAVE MAXIMU

FLEXIBILITY IN MOVEMENT OF ELIGIBLE FACILITIES BETWEEN FIRST

THREE CATEGORIES.

5. AGENCY TEAM DID NOT COMMENT ON ANY PART OF OUR PRESENT-  
ATION, AS THEY WER HEARING CONCEPTS FOR FIRST TIME, BUT L  
E E E E E E E

## Message Attributes

**Automatic DecapTIONing:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 03 OCT 1973  
**DecapTION Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**DecapTION Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** elyme  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973IAEAV00817  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS PORTER  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** IAEA VIENNA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731054/aaaabntm.tel  
**Line Count:** 114  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION SCI  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) STATE 187563 (NOTAL); B(B) STATE  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** elyme  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 13 SEP 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** WITHDRAWN <28-Aug-2001 by izenbei0, REFER TO DOE>; RELEASED <13-Sep-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <14-Sep-2001 by elyme>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** IAEA DRAFT  
**TAGS:** TECH, IAEA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005